David S. Haeg P.O. Box 123 Soldotna, AK 99669 (907) 262-9249 & 262-8867 fax

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

DAVID HAEG)
Appellant,)
vs.)
STATE OF ALASKA,) Case No.: <u>A-09455</u>
Appellee.)
Trial Court Case #4MC-S04-024 Cr.	,

MOTION TO STRIKE STATE'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE STATE'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR REVIEW

VRA CERTIFICATION: I certify this document and its attachments do not contain the (1) name of victim of a sexual offense listed in AS 12.61.140 or (2) residence or business address or telephone number of a victim of or witness to any offense unless it is an address identifying the place of a crime or an address or telephone number in a transcript of a court proceeding and disclosure of the information was ordered by the court.

COMES NOW Pro Se Appellant, DAVID HAEG, in the above referenced case and hereby files this *Motion to Strike the State's Motion for Extension of Time to File State's Response to Petition for Review* in accordance with Appellate Rule 514(e):

"Signing of Documents. All documents presented to the court, other than records, must bear the manuscript signature of the member of the bar who is counsel of record for the party concerned, and upon whom service is to be made."

The State's motion, although in attorney record Andrew Peterson's name, is signed by some unknown attorney not of record. In addition it is supported by an

Motion to Strike Page 1 of 2

affidavit in Andrew Peterson's name that is also signed by the unknown attorney. Sherry M. Gowan then illegally notarizes this illegal affidavit.

David filed a motion for Expedited Consideration with his Petition for Review – claiming an immediate review was warranted because a delay would cause further expense, hardship, and deprivation of David and his family's constitutional rights. The State now is using the color of law to illegally do so.

David is currently perfecting a federal civil lawsuit under USC Title 42 Sections 1983 & 1985 claiming individual actions and conspiracy to deprive him of rights under color of law. The motion at issue is another clear violation of David's rights under color of law, will be included in the lawsuit along with the people responsible, and because of this, should be stricken.

This motion is supported by t	he accompanying affidavit.	RESPECTFULLY
SUBMITTED this day of	2007.	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that on the day of 2007, a copy of the forgoing document by mail, fa hand-delivered, to the following parties: Andrew Peterson, Attorney, O.S.P.A.310 K. Street,	David S. Haeg, Pro Se Appe	llant
Suite 403, Anchorage, AK 99501 U.S. Department of Justice		
Bv:		

Motion to Strike Page 2 of 2